MINUTES

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 59th LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

JOINT APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES AND COMMERCE

Call to Order: By CHAIRMAN RICK RIPLEY, on January 19, 2005 at 8:00 A.M., in Room 317-C Capitol.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Rep. Rick Ripley, Chairman (R)

Sen. Ken (Kim) Hansen, Vice Chairman (D)

Sen. Gregory D. Barkus (R)

Sen. Bob Hawks (D)

Rep. Walter McNutt (R)

Rep. John L. Musgrove (D)

Members Excused: Rep. Rosalie (Rosie) Buzzas (D) was absent

for a portion of the meeting.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Barbara Smith, Legislative Branch

Doug Schmitz, Budget and Program
Planning Office Representative

Cynthia A. Peterson, Secretary pro tem

Please Note. These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:

Hearing & Date Posted: None.

Executive Action: Department of Natural Resources and

Conservation

Barbara Smith submitted Pay Schedules for FY 2003-2005.
Ms. Smith also submitted a correction on the Nursery Program.
EXHIBIT(jnh14a01)
EXHIBIT(jnh14a02)

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY referred the Committee members to the spreadsheet containing the decision packages.

EXHIBIT (jnh14a03)

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. WALTER MCNUTT, HD 37, SIDNEY, moved to approve the base level funding, plus state-wide present law adjustments. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2101

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. KEN HANSEN, SD 17, HARLEM, moved to approve DP 2101. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2102

SEN. BOB HAWKS, SD 33, BOZEMAN, moved to approve DP 2102.

Discussion:

SEN. GREGORY BARKUS, SD 4, KALISPELL, wondered why the phone system was not being funded in 2006 rather than 2007.

Ann Bauchman, Centralized Services Division, Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, explained the system could be maintained until 2007.

<u>Substitute Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BARKUS made a substitute motion that DP 2102 make the phone system biennial and one-time-only. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2110

Doug Schmitz, Governor's Office of Budget and Program Planning, noted the Department has agreed to fund one-half from existing sources and was requesting the other half from state Special Revenue. Mr. Schmitz explained that because of the water adjudication process, it was felt an additional attorney was needed.

REP. McNUTT wondered whether the position would be contingent upon passage of HB 22. **Mary Sexton, Director, Department of Natural Resources and Conservation,** replied it was not.

Motion: REP. McNUTT moved DP 2110 be approved.

SEN. HANSEN clarified that \$41,202 represented one-half of the total amount, and it would be up to DNRC's discretion to fund the other one-half. Mr. Schmitz agreed that was correct.

SEN. BARKUS asked whether the position would be filled if DP 2110 was not approved. **Mr. Schmitz** explained it would not be filled because the request would have been considered and denied.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approved DP 2110 carried 4-2 by roll call vote with REP. JOHN MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2201

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. HANSEN moved DP 2201 be approved. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy. {Tape: 1; Side: A; Approx. Time Counter: 15.4 - 16.8}

DP 2203

Motion/Vote: REP. McNUTT moved DP 2203 be approved.

Discussion:

SEN. BARKUS asked if the RIT funds were going to be substantially lessened. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY replied there is a \$1 million difference, and that additional information should be available within the next few days. SEN. BARKUS spoke against the motion and suggested it should be pushed out to 2006 and 2007. Mr. Schmitz explained it has been requested as a biennial appropriation, and that it is oil and gas money not RIT.

Tom Richmond, Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, explained Oil and Gas Energy, Education, and Outreach is a pilot proposal and stated he would not object to it being made a one-time-only appropriation. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY added that he has reviewed copies of the Wyoming and North Dakota programs, and that they were very impressive. Montana's program is modeled after those programs.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. McNUTT moved DP 2203 be approved as one-time-only. The motion carried unanimously and REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2204

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. McNUTT moved DP 2204 be approved. The motion carried 5-1 with SEN. BARKUS voting no and REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2205

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. HAWK moved DP 2205 be approved. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BARKUS moved the language on C-137 be approved. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2206

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. McNUTT moved DP 2206 be approved. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2207

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BARKUS moved DP 2207 be approved. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2301

Motion: SEN. BARKUS moved DP 2301 be approved.

Questions:

SEN. HAWKS clarified his understanding that this is one-time funding. **Mr. Schmitz** explained the legislature approved the position during the last legislature, but was missed in the turn around process. Therefore, it was approved in the interim and DP 2301 seeks to make the position permanent.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 2301 carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2302

Motion/Vote: REP. McNUTT moved to approve DP 2302. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2303

Motion/Vote: SEN. BARKUS moved to approve DP 2303. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2310

Ms. Smith stated the department has requested the package be reduced to \$7,000 per year, and that the additional money will come through language if fees need to be increased.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. McNUTT moved to approve DP 2310. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2313

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. HAWKS moved to approve DP 2313. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2314

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BARKUS moved to approve DP 2314. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

{Tape: 1; Side: B}

DP 2304

Motion/Vote: REP. McNUTT moved to approve DP 2304. The motion
carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2305

Motion: SEN. HAWKS moved to approve DP 2305.

SEN. BARKUS asked why the program is general fund rather than state special revenue. **Ms. Smith** replied the amount is in the wrong column on the spreadsheet and should be indicated as state special revenue.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2306

SEN. HAWKS noticed he had a note stating DP 2306 may not be necessary. Ms. Ann Bauchman, Centralized Services Division, stated moving the funds from Renewable Resources to Reclamation Development will give them a better cash position based upon projected revenue and is still required. Anna Miller, DNRC, added DP 2306 will give DNRC flexibility in the event one account is short and another account is long.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. HAWKS moved to approve DP 2306. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 111

Ms. Smith explained there is legislation presented in both the House and Senate to restore the Agricultural Heritage Program.

Ms. Smith stated she has been notified by legal counsel that there is a potential constitutionality issue with the proposed legislation; therefore, approval of DP 111 will be contingent upon legislation which may or may not be presented.

Mr. Schmitz noted restoration of the Agricultural Heritage Program is one of Governor Schweitzer's proposals, but did not know whether the legislation contained an appropriation.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY voiced his concern that the proposal does not contain benchmarks to determine the success of the program, and CHAIRMAN RIPLEY thought there should be some way to measure the success of a new program. Mr. Schmitz recalled the program was in existence for a couple of bienniums, but was not funded in the last biennium.

Mr. Ray Beck, Administrator, Conservation & Resource Development Division, DNRC, agreed the program was in existence at one time and had a sunset. This funding would reestablish the program. {Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 4.3 - 9.7}

DP 2320

Motion: SEN. HANSEN moved to approve DP 2320.

Discussion:

Mr. Schmitz noted the next packages had not been recommended by the Executive and are predicated upon additional revenue estimates that the Executive is not in concurrence with.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY noted the 26 percent increase in funding is more than what would be required to restore the program. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY commented he would like an opportunity to speak with REP. MUSGROVE.

Without objection, SEN. HANSEN withdrew his motion until such time as REP. MUSGROVE could be present.

DP 2321

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. McNUTT moved to approve DP 2321. The motion carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2322

Motion: SEN. HANSEN moved to approve DP 2322.

<u>Discussion</u>: **SEN. BARKUS** noted the funds were to be used for travel and other related activities. **SEN. HANSEN** replied it was his understanding the money would be divided among the 15 conservation districts.

Mr. Beck explained there are 15 conservation districts along the Missouri River. Mr. Beck explained a fair amount of travel is involved, but they also plan to contract a staff position and conduct studies and other services.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY noticed the Yellowstone Council had expended \$30,000 compared with the \$80,000 in DP 2333. Mr. Beck recalled the Yellowstone Council did not have a staff person for a period of time, and that they believed \$80,000 would be appropriate.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 2332 passed 5-1, with REP. RIPLEY voting no, and REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2324

<u>Motion</u>: SEN. HAWKS moved to approve DP 2324 and pay for it with funds from the Coal Tax Shared Revenue account.

Discussion:

Ms. Smith directed the committee to her comment about moving the funding to the Coal Severance Tax instead of one of the Resource Indemnity Trust (RIT) accounts because it was previously funded through those dollars during the period of time it was not funded by the committee. Ms. Smith noted if the committee moved on the package, it would be necessary to make a substitute motion to fund it with coal tax dollars, rather than RIT funds, and it would reduce the amount of the shared revenue account for the Department of Agriculture.

Mr. Schmitz wondered if all the percentages would need to be adjusted.

Ms. Smith noted the money could be used from the Growth Through Ag program and put into DNRC. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY thought that would be a good idea.

SEN. HAWKS recalled this was a very painful cut and left a significant void. **REP. McNUTT** agreed.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 2324 and pay for it with funds from the Coal Tax Shared Revenue account carried unanimously with REP. MUSGROVE voting by proxy.

DP 2325

Motion: SEN. BARKUS moved to approve DP 2325.

Discussion:

SEN. BARKUS urged support for DP 2325 stating the program gets a lot bang for the buck. **SEN. HANSEN** agreed.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY voiced concern that about DP2325 being Coal Tax shared dollars.

Mr. Schmitz asked whether the money would come from one area of the Coal Tax shared dollars or whether there would be a reallocation in all programs. Ms. Smith calculated the coal tax shared revenue account is \$107,075 over the biennium over revenue estimates. If the money were taken from Growth Through Agriculture, that allocation would be reduced by \$53,000, which is less than what will be taken to fund the range position. Ms. Smith agreed to provide the committee with a spreadsheet, so the

committee can know exactly where the budget stands before making a decision on DP 2325.

{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 24.6 - 30.4}

(REP. MUSGROVE arrived at this point.)

DP 2326

Motion: SEN. BARKUS moved to approve DP 2326.

<u>Discussion</u>: **Mr. Beck** explained DP 2326 was requested by the Montana Association of Conservation Districts and spoke about difficulties in processing applications.

{Tape: 2; Side: A}

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY commented he would like to see this DP 2326 be one-time-only and restricted.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve 2326, one-time-only and restricted, carried 5-1 with REP. RIPLEY voting no.

DP 2327

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. HANSEN moved to approve DP 2327. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 2320

Mr. Beck defended the proposed \$70,500 year to be used to reinstate the conservation district position. Mr. Beck stated there are only five positions for the entire state of Montana.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY asked what involvement the conservation district has in timber. Mr. Beck stated the conservation districts are viewed as a conduit between state, federal, and local programs.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. MUSGROVE moved to approve DP 2320. The motion carried 501 with REP. RIPLEY voting no.

(Rep. Buzzas arrived at this point.)

Ms. Smith directed the committee to the language packages.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. HANSEN moved to approve the language on C-144. The motion carried unanimously.

Ms. Smith noted that if grazing fees are not raised, there will not be \$20,000 in the account.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. MUSGROVE moved to adopt the language in Appropriation 1, the amended language. The motion carried unanimously.

Motion: SEN. BARKUS moved to approve language Appropriation 2.

Discussion:

SEN. HAWKS asked if SEN. BARKUS wanted to restrict the funds in any way.

Ms. Smith raised the issue that language appropriation is more difficult to track. Ms. Smith suggested if they wanted to give flexibility to access the funds for an emergency, they could do a one-time-only restricted biennial appropriation. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY commented the funding is coming from a fees increase from their own association.

Mr. Beck provided an explanation and stated there would be \$50,000 available per rancher to assist with getting water back on line.

SEN. HAWKS wondered if there would be legal settlement funds to cover these costs and wondered if the fund would be reimbursed.

Mr. Beck agreed that was a good point, and that it would need to be worked out.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve the language in Appropriation 2 carried 6-1, with REP. RIPLEY voting no.

DP 2402

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. McNUTT moved to approve DP 2401. The motion carried unanimously.

Ms. Smith noted one correction and stated the reference should be to 78-5-31.

DP 2403

<u>Motion</u>: REP. MUSGROVE moved to approve 2403.

Motion/Vote: SEN. BARKUS made a substitute motion to approve DP
2403 for one-time-only. The motion carried unanimously.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 2403, as amended, carried unanimously.

DP 2404

Motion: REP. McNUTT moved to approve DP 2404.

Discussion:

SEN. BARKUS asked if anyone could justify the study versus putting the money into solid improvements.

Mr. Jack Stultz, Water Resources Division, Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, stated mitigation efforts are in place, and this is a review by the biologists.

SEN. McNUTT withdrew his motion without objection until such time as a representative of Fish, Wildlife & Parks (FWP) could be present. Ms. Smith agreed to request information from FWP.

DP 2405

Motion: SEN. MUSGROVE moved to approve DP 2405.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY suggested DP 2405 be restricted to one-time-only. SEN. MUSGROVE agreed.

Ms. Smith reminded the committee that this is the first phase of construction, and next session they will be faced with rehabilitation costs.

Vote: The motion to approve DP 2405 carried unanimously.

DP 2406

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. HANSEN moved DP 2406 be approved and restricted to one-time. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 2407

Ms. Smith explained there is a bill in place which would make the attachment to DNRC permanent.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY asked what the legislation would do. **Ms. Smith** explained the legislation would remove the termination date for the transfer.

Motion: SEN. MUSGROVE moved to approve DP 2407.

Discussion:

Mr. Stults explained SB 138 would make permanent the transfer of the Commission, in terms of its administrative attachment, from the Governor's Office to the DNRC. If the bill does not pass, the Commission will remain attached to the Governor's Office.
Mr. Stults further explained DP 2407 is a reduction because of one-time-only contracted services that will not be repeated in the next biennium.

{Tape: 2; Side: B}

Vote: The motion to approve DP 2407 carried unanimously.

DP 2408

Motion: SEN. HANSEN moved DP 2408 be approved for one-time-only.

Discussion: SEN. BARKUS asked if the number was firm.

Mr. Stults replied the cost was very well established and included the cost of equipment and the rental of data storage space.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 2408 for one-time-only carried unanimously.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BARKUS moved to approve the language for the Water Resource Division. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 2409

Mr. Schmitz stated the proposal is submitted by Governor Schweitzer for \$2 million over the biennium, \$400,000 of which should be used for DNRC's database.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY understood the database is needed and the proposal needs to be passed, but would like to see a way to ensure the DNRC is moving through the process.

REP. McNUTT reported DNRC is still working on being able to print final decrees. REP. McNUTT noted HB 22 sets parameters and benchmarks that must be met or the funding will stop. REP. McNUTT thought it would be appropriate to ask the Environmental Quality Council (EQC) to follow the water adjudication issue.

SEN. BARKUS asked for an explanation of how the \$1.6 million could be quantified just to address the funding of a process. **Mr. Stults** explained \$400,000 would be used for the database, and the two other components would be the billing system and the ability to interface the database with the optical imaging program. The remaining money will be used for staffing identified in the fiscal note for HB 22.

SEN. HAWKS asked what impact there would be on the rate of adjudication. **Mr. Stults** replied HB 22 requires the DNRC's role to be completed in ten years.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY asked if approving DP 2409 contingent upon HB 22 passing would allow them to track the \$1.6 million. Ms. Smith suggested that if they want to track the \$1.6 million versus the \$400,000, they should separate the funds into two line items. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY agreed the amounts should be split into two separate decision packages. Mr. Schmitz explained Governor Schweitzer wants \$2 million to go toward water rights adjudication regardless of whether HB 22 passes.

REP. McNUTT asked if water adjudication account language is needed. **Ms. Smith** agreed.

<u>Motion</u>: REP. McNUTT moved to split the funds with one appropriation being a \$400,000 one-time-only appropriation restricted to the database, and the other being a \$1.6 million appropriation restricted to water rights adjudication activities. In addition, the EQC will be instructed to monitor the progress.

Discussion:

SEN. BARKUS stated he would like to see more of a plan outlining how the money would be spent.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY shared those concerns, but commented he would support the motion with the request that DNRC bring more information and a plan prior to the full appropriations committee taking action.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 2409 carried 6-1 with Barkus voting no.

{Tape: 2; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 5.3 - 24}

DP 2410

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY explained DP 2410 was removed.

DP 2411

Motion: REP. McNUTT moved to adopt DP 2411.

Discussion:

REP. BARKUS suggested DP 2411 seemed like it would be a bonding appropriation. **Ms. Smith** responded that because of the funding with the Broadwater facility, the money has been set aside over time.

Mr. Schmitz explained the revenues are generated from the sale of electricity from the project and revenues are also used for the rehabilitation, upkeep and funding other water projects.

REP. McNUTT asked if language was needed to restrict the funds to the Broadwater Bridge replacement activities only. **CHAIRMAN RIPLEY** agreed.

Mr. Stults commented there is almost \$850,000 in the account. Mr. Stults stated money that is received from power generated and then sold to NWE is used to establish an operating and maintenance account.

SEN. HAWKS wondered if there was an implication between the bankruptcy and NWE. Mr. Stults explained in 2003, the legislature appropriated \$350,000 to repair the bridge in place. An engineering analysis revealed that because of hazardous materials on the bridge, it would be more cost-effective to replace the bridge rather than to repair it. Mr. Stults explained the fund balance would be \$200,000 which would be sufficient to handle anything within the next two years.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. McNUTT moved to approve DP 2411 for one-time-only and to restrict its use. The motion carried unanimously.

{Tape: 3; Side: A}

DP 2412

Ms. Smith explained DP 2412 came through Rep. Jackson who has a bill (HB 236) to make the Clark Fork Task Force permanent. **Ms. Smith** noted the reference on the spreadsheet should be to \$25,000 and not \$250,000.

Motion: SEN. BARKUS moved to approve DP 2412.

Ms. Smith reminded the committee DP 2412 is RIT renewable resource funds, not recreation and development.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 2412 carried 6-1, with REP. RIPLEY voting no.

DP 2501

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. MUSGROVE moved to approve DP 2501. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 2502

Motion: REP. MUSGROVE moved to approve DP 2501, one-time-only.

Discussion:

Susan Cottingham, Reserved Water Rights Compact Commission, explained the St. Mary's project is integral to their compact settlements in the Milk River. The Compact Commission's role is to negotiate a water rights settlement with the Blackfeet Tribe for their reserved water rights on the Reservation, which includes the St. Mary's River and the Milk River Headwaters. Ms. Cottingham reported increased interest from the Tribal Counsel to begin to reach a settlement. Ms. Cottingham stated they are trying to do this parallel to the St. Mary's project. Ms. Cottingham stated they would like to contract for engineering services to look closely at the irrigation process and its efficiencies. Ms. Cottingham explained there is a 2009 sunset date, and they are attempting to get as much accomplished as possible. Ms. Cottingham suggested they have a unique opportunity to complete the Blackfeet settlement. Ms. Cottingham testified they do not have some of the engineering and biology expertise on staff that will be needed. Ms. Cottingham stated the Commission is very careful with how it spends contracted services money.

SEN. HAWKS suggested the Commission is attempting to negotiate with the tribes without any concept of how modernized engineering could affect the project. **Ms. Cottingham** stated staff is analyzing these issues.

SEN. MUSGROVE clarified the correct amount is \$195,000 for the biennium. **Ms. Smith** replied that was correct.

SEN. HANSEN thought a lot of the engineering work had already been completed. Ms. Cottingham clarified some of the engineering

has been completed in a general sense, but significant issues still remain.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 2502 carried 5-2 with Barkus and Ripley voting no.

DP 3502

Motion: REP. BUZZAS moved to approve DP 3502.

Discussion:

REP. BUZZAS recalled the request is to be moved from one-time-only to permanent. **Ms. Smith** agreed the request is to move the funds into the base.

SEN. HAWKS recalled a considerable amount would come from federal funds.

Mr. Bob Harrington, Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, believed the funding is a combination of state special revenue and federal funds, and that no general funds would be used for this biennium.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 3502 carried unanimously.

DP 3503

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BARKUS moved to approve DP 3503 and make the nursery section a proprietary account, so the legislature can track the revenues and expenditures. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 3504

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. MUSGROVE moved to approve DP 3504. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 3506

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. MUSGROVE moved to approve DP 3506. The motion carried unanimously.

Discussion:

Mr. Harrington explained they currently have four operational helicopters and this would be the fifth. SEN. BARKUS requested

statistical information regarding the usage of the other four helicopters. Mr. Harrington directed the committee to the document he submitted earlier at his presentation. Mr. Harrington explained the fifth helicopter would be a spare helicopter to be used if one of the other four were down for maintenance.

In response to a question from **CHAIRMAN RIPLEY, Mr. Harrington** explained that under extreme circumstances, they have contracted for additional aircraft. **Mr. Harrington** suggested building a helicopter is the easy part, but supplying a pilot can be difficult.

SEN. HAWKS inquired how common it is that helicopters are contracted out to other parts of the country. Mr. Harrington replied it is not common, and most states have their own resources. Mr. Harrington added contracting the helicopters out of state limits Montana's resources.

REP. BUZZAS inquired whether staff support was included in DP 3506. Mr. Harrington replied DP 3506 is limited to the helicopter and does not include FTE or operations costs. REP. BUZZAS noted DP 3506 is a larger commitment because of additional staff support.

{Tape: 3; Side: B}

REP. BUZZAS suggested that DP 3506 is only part of a larger discussion about ramping up Montana's ability to fight fire in case there is a bad fire season.

SEN. HANSEN asked about the ability to utilize the proposed helicopter to assist other state agencies in Montana, such as Fish, Wildlife & Parks. Mr. Harrington thought it would be important that the aircraft be available to fight fire, but noted it could be available to assist other departments, especially in the event of a disaster. Mr. Harrington added in FY 2004, there were 570 flight hours among three helicopters.

SEN. BARKUS inquired why the helicopter was not listed in the priority funding schedule. **Mr. Harrington** responded the helicopter was part of the executive budget.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY commented that he agreed with Rep. Buzzas that there was a need to look at the whole fire-fighting picture and stated he would be moving for the forestry proposals to come into compliance with the audit. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY identified water

adjudication and fires as the two most important issues the committee would be looking at this session.

REP. McNUTT commented \$200,000 for a \$3 million helicopter is a steal, and that it would be foolish not to pass DP 3506.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 3506 for one-time-only carried unanimously.

DP 3510

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY explained that DP 3510 would provide funding for 5.11 FTE. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY stated his idea is that the funding would come out of the general fund. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY stated any money that comes out of the general fund to prevent and fund fires is less money that will have to be appropriated in a supplemental or a special session.

REP. BUZZAS commented many audits are conducted for different departments, and those audits always make recommendations about what should be funded. REP. BUZZAS urged caution. CHAIRMAN RIPLEY agreed, but stated it becomes a matter of priority and given the extreme drought and bad fire seasons, fire should be a top priority.

Ms. Smith noted the committee could consider a biennial one-time-only restriction. Ms. Smith added that in the event of a bad fire season, her job will be to keep the Legislative Finance Committee apprised of what is being spent and where the money is coming from.

Motion: REP. McNUTT moved DP 3510 through DP 3514 be approved.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BARKUS moved to segregate DP 3514 from the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 3510 through DP 3513 carried 6-1 with REP. BUZZAS voting no.

DP 3514

In addressing DP 3514, Mr. Harrington stated that authority is still needed to address severity resources. Ms. Smith added that in a bad fire season the appropriation could come from anywhere in the department to address a severe need and when the cash comes back in, the appropriations are made whole again.

Motion: REP. McNUTT moved DP 3514 be approved.

SEN. BARKUS stated he would not support the motion because he believes there are too many of these kinds of accounts throughout state government.

Ms. Smith clarified to Director Sexton that what the committee passed was full general fund funding.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 3514 carried 5-2 with REPS. BARKUS and BUZZAS voting no.

DP 3521

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. McNUTT moved to approve DP 3521. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 3522

Motion: REP. MUSGROVE moved to approve DP 3522.

Discussion:

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY asked if there was a new proposal that also addressed road maintenance. Ms. Smith directed CHAIRMAN RIPLEY to DP 3525 which is funded through forestry improvement. DP 3522 is funded by a \$2 fee assessed through conservation licenses since the department has the authority to spend 10 percent of that fee.

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 3522 carried unanimously.

DP 3523

Discussion:

Thomas Schultz, Trust Land Management, Department of Natural Resources, stated they generate in excess of \$400,000 from commercial leases, and they are asking for authority to spend \$30,000.

REP. McNUTT asked for future projections. **Mr. Schultz** responded they are anticipating an additional \$200,000 annual revenue received over the next biennium.

<u>Motion</u>: SEN. HAWKS moved to approve DP 3523 and would like to include a restriction that would include language "in concurrence with local zoning authority."

Discussion:

SEN. BARKUS asked if the proposed language was already in statute. SEN. HAWKS responded public lands are typically off the books, and there have been loopholes for local authority not having much say about how uses take place. Mr. Schultz added statute does not currently require them to go through zoning.

{Tape: 4; Side: A}

<u>Vote</u>: The motion to approve DP 3523 and include language "in concurrence with local zoning authority" carried 5-2 with REPS. BARKUS and RIPLEY voting no.

DP 3524

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BARKUS moved to approve DP 3524. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 3525

Motion/Vote: REP. BUZZAS moved to approve DP 3525. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 3526

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: REP. BUZZAS moved to approve DP 3524 one-time-only. The motion carried unanimously.

DP 3527

Motion: REP. MUSGROVE moved to approve DP 3527.

Discussion:

SEN. HAWKS commented that he had a sense that this was the lowest income-generating aspect of public land use management. SEN. HAWKS asked if there was some sense of the amount of investment put into different sectors of trust land with regard to their production. Mr. Schultz replied that the program has the lowest return per FTE. Mr. Schultz identified a 26 percent increase in

workload. **Mr. Schultz** suggested two FTE is a very conservative estimate to continue to get the job done. **Mr. Schultz** identified the need as critical.

SEN. MUSGROVE commented that timber sales are very labor intensive, and that this would pencil-out in a positive manner.

Vote: The motion to approve DP 3527 carried unanimously.

CHAIRMAN RIPLEY requested Ms. Smith to calculate how much money they approved for renewable resource and reclamation development accounts.

<u>Motion/Vote</u>: SEN. BARKUS moved the proprietary rate on C-158.
The motion carried unanimously.

JOINT APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES AND COMMERCE

January 19, 2005

PAGE 22 of 22

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment: 11:43 A.M.

REP. RICK RIPLEY, Chairman

Cynthia A. Peterson, Secretary

RR/CP

Additional Exhibits:

EXHIBIT (jnh14aad0.PDF)